

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

DONALD SESSO,

Plaintiff,

v.

MERCY SUBURBAN HOSPITAL,

Defendant.

:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:
:

CIVIL ACTION NO. 11-5718

ORDER

AND NOW, this 13th day of March 2013, upon consideration of Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. No. 25], Plaintiff's Response [Doc. No. 27], Defendant's Reply [Doc. No. 28], and Plaintiff's Sur-Reply [Doc. No. 30], and for the reasons set forth in the attached Memorandum Opinion, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Motion is **DENIED** as to Plaintiff's age discrimination claims under the ADEA and the PHRA, and **GRANTED** as to Plaintiff's retaliation claims.

It is so **ORDERED**.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Cynthia M. Rufe

CYNTHIA M. RUFÉ, J.